

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

Marvin Gerber, et al.,
Plaintiff(s),

v.

Case No. 19-13726
Honorable Victoria A. Roberts

Henry Herskovitz, et al.,
Defendant(s).

/

ORDER STRIKING DOCUMENT

The Court reviewed Protester Defendants' Response to Motion to Dismiss [ECF No. 75]. The Court **STRIKES** that document for the following reason(s):

- Missing statement of concurrence. See Local Rule ("LR") 7.1(a).
- Missing required information (e.g., table of contents, concise statement of issues, controlling or most appropriate authority, index of authorities, index of exhibits, etc.). See LR 7.1(d)(2).
- Does not contain a statement of material facts with numbered paragraphs or fails to respond to statement of material facts in motion. See Section 1(A) of Phase II Scheduling Order (Statement of Material Facts on Motion for Summary Judgment).

- Wrong font size or improperly formatted (e.g., single-spaced, improper margins, missing page numbers, etc.). See LR 5.1(a)(2),(3).
- Does not comply with Rule 18(b) of the Electronic Filing Policies and Procedures, regarding filing exhibits electronically. See LR 5.1.1(a).
- Does not comply with Local Rule 5.3, regarding filing an item under seal in a civil case.
- Over-length. See LR 7.1(d)(3).
- Motion to dismiss/response to motion to dismiss relies primarily on summary judgment cases.
- Does not comply with the Scheduling Order (or other Order or Notice) in this case for the following reasons: _____

Other: _____.

The document is stricken and not part of the record. The document must be refiled in full compliance by December 2, 2020. A courtesy copy that includes tabbed and highlighted exhibits and the ECF electronic date stamp must be submitted to chambers.

IT IS ORDERED.

S/ Victoria A. Roberts

Victoria A. Roberts

United States District Judge

Dated: 11/29/2020